

Program Prioritetnih Investicija za Zastitu Zivotne Sredine

Priority Environmental Investment Programme PEIP

*Projekat finansira Evropska Komisija.
Project is funded by the European Comission*

Dusan Sevic, REC

dsevic@rec.org

Presentation at the 2nd meeting of the Coordination Group for Support to Development of
Local Infrastructure in the Republic of Serbia

Belgrade, 14. May 2008.



THE REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER
for Central and Eastern Europe

Project Team

- Regional Environmental Center **REC** www.rec.org
- Environmental Financing Team **(EFT)**

Ruslan Zhechkov rzhechkov@rec.org

- EFT Team Leader, PEIP Project Manager
- fYROM Coordinator

Venelina Varbova: MGR, BiH Coordinator

Ellen Baltzar: ALB Coordinator

Dusan Sevic: SER, CRO Coordinator

vvarbova@rec.org

ebaltzar@rec.org

dsevic@rec.org



Scope

- **P**riority
 - **E**nvironmental
 - **I**nvestment
 - **P**rogramme
-
- **P**rogram
 - **P**rioritetnih
 - **I**nvesticija
 - **z**a **Z**ivotnu **S**redinu

- **Sectors:**

- Water
- Waste
- Air



Goal and Objectives

Reducing environmental pressures in WB countries

Supporting SEE countries in

- Accelerating compliance with EU Directives
- Reducing Impacts from Waste, Wastewater and Air Pollution

Through

- Assistance in Environmental Investments Planning
- Assistance in EI Project Preparation
- Assistance in PU Transformation and Restructuring



Activities

- Supporting
 - National Environmental Investment Planning
 - Institutional Strengthening and CB
- Identifying and Prioritising EI Projects
 - Creating and updating Lists of EIPs (**PEIP Lists**)
- Assistance in developing
 - Investment Project Concepts and Proposals
- Providing information to Donors and IFIs
 - Legal and Institutional dvpts, needs and priorities, and the status of planning (**Country Analytical Reports**)
 - Project Pipelines (**PEIP Lists**)



Current PEIP List (Waste Sector)

Projekat	Cena (MEUR)	Obezb. (MEUR)	Nedost. (MEUR)
KRAGUJEVAC Sistem za upravljanje otpadom Kombponenta B: Centar za reciklazu	5	0.5	4.5
KOLUBARA ugljenokop Rekultivacija jalovista i deponija	2	0	2
KRUSEVAC Integrisano upravljanje otpadom	5.3	1.7	3.6
SVILAJNAC Rekultivacija i prosirivanje deponije "Badra"	1	0.2	0.8
KOLUBARA prerada Postrojenje za proizvodnju briketa	0.6	0.2	5.8



To be Added to the List (Waste Sector)

Projekat	Cena (MEUR)	Obezb. (MEUR)	Ne (MEUR)
VALJEVO Regionalna deponija	19.5	0	19.5
ZAJECAR Regionalna deponija	16.6	0	16.6
SMEDEREVO Opstinska Deponija [*]	9.8	0 [*]	9.8
[*] Medjutim, ako opština obezbedi 2.5 MEUR, pripremi dokumentaciju I izvrši pripremne radove u skladu sa uslovima EARa, može da se kandiduje za 1.2 MEUR od Fonda za zaštitu životne sredine I preostalih 6.1 MEUR od EARa.			



Current PEIP List (Water Sector)

Projekat	Cena (MEUR)	Obezb. (MEUR)	Ne (MEUR)
Preciscavanje komunalnih OV u Subotici (rekonstrukcija I prosirenje mreze i uredjaja)	17.67	9.08	8.59
Preciscavanje industrijske OV – Kolubara Prerada	5.6	1.8	3.8
Regeneracija Jezera Ludas	3.86	0	3.86
Sistem za odvodnjenje OV – Rafinerija Novi Sad	3.7	0	3.7
Izgradnja kanalizacije za komunlane OV, Vladicin Han	0.4	0	0.4




To be Added to the List (Waste Sector)


Projekat	Cena (MEUR)	Obezb. (MEUR)	Ne (MEUR)
Kaptacija i glavni vod za snabdevanje vodom, naselje Setonje	3.2	0	3.2
Rekonstrukcija uređaja i mreže u tehnološkoj zoni, Vrsac [*]	2.3	0	2.3
[*] ako opština obezbedi EUR 0.2 miliona, pripremi dokumentaciju, i izvede pripremane radove saglasno sa uslovima EAR-a, može da racuna na EUR 0.3 miliona od Nacionalnog investicionog programa (NIP) i ostatak sredstava (EUR 1.8 milion) od EAR-a.			



Project Scoring and Ranking Methodology

Criterion	Indicator	Weight	Score
1. Strategic a) Environmental Risk of Regional Importance b) Harmonisation with EU Dir c) National Priority	Yes / No Yes / No Yes / No	0.20	100 75 50
2. Geographical a) Project location / region	Crna tacka / SZP Gusto naseljeno Retko naseljeno	0.15	100 75 25
3. Environment and Health a) Population Size	< 25 k - 50k >	0.30	50 / 75 / 100
4. Legal. (ownership settled?)	Yes / No	0.1	0 / 100
5. Tehnology (advanced&tested, advanced¬ tested, classic, obsolete)	advanced&tested advanced & not t. classic obsolete	0.05	0 50 75 100
6. Social. (Public Participation)	Yes / No	0.05	50 / 0
 THE REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER for Central and Eastern Europe			
			www.rec.org

Metodologija rangiranja projekata

Criterion	Indicator	Weight	Score
7. Economic and Financial Co-financing envisaged? (Merge with 8?)	< 20% 20% – 50% > 50%	0.1	0 50 100
8. Project Maturity Project Idea & PFS Project & FS &EIA Implementation		0.05	50 75 100
9. Proponent Maturity a) Structural / Operational Reforms? b) Type and degree of privatisation? c) Responsibility for Past Pollution and Remediation? d) Self sustainability, (tariff changes?)			
We are considering the following questions: 9b) Different scoring regarding Donors and IFIs ? (or keep it as a qualitative indicator w/o scoring)			
To move questions 7, 8 and 9 to the top of the table.			
 THE REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER for Central and Eastern Europe			www.rec.org

Thank you!

dsevic@rec.org



THE REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER
for Central and Eastern Europe

www.rec.org